Decade of Roma Inclusion 2005-2015 FIVE YEARS IN THE DECADE





18th International Steering Committee Meeting June 24-25, 2010 Bratislava, Slovak Republic

DECADE SURVEY



Objectives:

- Setting an objective picture how the Decade governments and NGO partners see the past five years in the Decade
- value added of the Decade, results, shortcomings and way of further improvement
- Getting an opinion on the Decade events, role of presidencies and international partners
- Decade Trust Fund contribution, administration
- Role of the Decade Secretariat how the secretariat should improve its work and assistance

Five years in the Decade View of the Governments



Value added of the Decade – common position

- The Decade is the moving engine for creating systematic Roma inclusion policies and implementation of programs
- Decade is import tool to lobby for Roma integration policies
- Institutional setup for Roma integration has been significantly improved in the Decade countries
- Roma participation is a key value and makes a difference
- As to government programs: educations was the primary focus followed by housing

Five years in the Decade View of the Governments



Concerns raised

- IOs to be more cooperative in providing technical assistance
- Decade has not reached yet local level
- Decade failed in monitoring and evaluation

Five years in the Decade View of the Governments



- Donors should support governments and NGOs in accordance with the NAPs only
- Monitoring, impact analysis, analysis on cost effectiveness should be compulsory
- Enhanced cooperation is crucially important with the European Commission
- Fund should be established similar to REF for
 - > supporting infrastructure related projects
 - > addressing unexpected emergency cases

Five years in the Decade View of civil society partners



Value added of the Decade – common position

- Decade is the most important initiative for Roma
- Decade is an important tool to push governments for appropriate policies
- Roma became visible particularly in government structures

Five years in the Decade View of civil society partners



Concerns raised

- Decade is missing a vision
- Lack of data
- Lack of funding
- Lack of appropriate consultation between IOs and civil society actors
- Ad hoc actions of governments instead of systematic measures
- Insignificant change on community level
- Decade increased the prejudices toward Roma

Five years in the Decade View of civil society partners



- More attention should be paid on communication development of a communication strategy
- Focus should be on monitoring and evaluation
- More lobby on EU level for acceptance and integration of the Decade
- Development of local actions plans
- Measures against Decade partners who regularly ignore Decade events



Decade process and events

Common position

- Presidency gives a good opportunity to national governments to focus on Roma integration
- Hungary considered as the best presidency (priorities, international initiatives, workshops, organization)
- Slovakia is the least successful (priorities, national focus, organization)
- Events are important platforms for exchanging experiences and information
- Good opportunity for networking



Decade process and events Concerns raised

- Lack of involvement of civil society in the work of the presidencies
- Missing opportunity for Decade partners to comment draft presidency plans
- Missing follow up of recommendations of thematic workshops



Decade process and events

- Presidency plan should represent continuity, build on the work of previous presidencies
- Presidency should be more active on political level, advocacy role should be stronger on international stage
- Events should be more focused, interactive and provide practical knowledge accompanied by field visits
- International experts should be involved and invited
- Line ministry officials to be invited including Ministry of Finance

Decade Trust Fund



- Important tool to support Decade process
- Contribution needs to be planned due in advance to allocate funding from the national budget
- Several Decade partners expressed interest in applying for funding in the next 6 months
- DTF implementation procedure is very complicated and long
- Partners support the idea of taking over the administration of DTF by the Decade Secretariat while the Trust Fund would be still under the WB management system

DECADE OF ROMA INCLUSION 2005-2015

Decade Secretariat

- Compliments overall satisfaction with the professional approach of the Decade Secretariat
- Considered as central contact point where information is easily accessible



Decade Secretariat

- Sharing best practices, program and project descriptions
- Collect and share information on funding opportunities particularly EU funding
- Break the language barrier translation of relevant documents into national languages
- Yearly monitoring visits on the implementation of NAP
- Initiating meeting on sub-regional level or on the level of National Coordinators



THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION !

www.romadecade.org

Tunde Buzetzky Decade of Roma Inclusion Secretariat Foundation <u>tbuzetzky@decadesecretariat.org</u>